



# ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

## Housing Development

### 10-16 Albert Street, Casino NSW 2470

**Prepared for:** Barry Rush & Associates Pty Ltd  
Suite 25a, 2 Beattie Street  
BALMAIN NSW 2041  
02 9555 8028

**Prepared by:** Greg Tesoriero  
Dip. Horticulture (Arboriculture) AQF Level 5  
Registered Consulting Arborist No. 3008  
QTRA No. 6291  
B.LArch (Hons)

**LGA:** Richmond Valley Council

**Date:** 22 May 2023

**Revision:** A

**Job No:** F420



**CREATIVE PLANNING SOLUTIONS PTY LIMITED**

Level 3, 397 Riley Street, Surry Hills NSW 2010 – PO Box 1074 Broadway NSW 2007

+61 2 8039 7461 | [info@cpsplanning.com.au](mailto:info@cpsplanning.com.au) | [www.cpsplanning.com.au](http://www.cpsplanning.com.au) | ABN: 70 135 093 926

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>1</b>	<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	Background.....	4
2.2	Objectives .....	4
2.3	Legislation & Regulating Documents.....	4
2.4	Documentation Received .....	5
2.5	The Site.....	5
2.6	Proposed Development .....	5
2.7	Limitations.....	5
<b>3</b>	<b>METHOD .....</b>	<b>8</b>
3.1	Method .....	8
<b>4</b>	<b>OBSERVATIONS.....</b>	<b>10</b>
4.1	General.....	10
4.2	Tree Preservation Order.....	10
4.3	State Environment Planning Policy (Biodiversity and Conservation) 2021 .....	10
4.4	The Trees .....	10
<b>5</b>	<b>DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>12</b>
5.1	Impact Assessment .....	12
5.2	Trees Recommended for Removal .....	12
5.3	Trees Recommended for Retention & Protection .....	14
5.4	Ancillary Construction Related Impacts .....	16
<b>6</b>	<b>CONCLUSION .....</b>	<b>17</b>
6.1	Proposed Development Impact .....	17
<b>7</b>	<b>RECOMMENDATIONS .....</b>	<b>18</b>
7.1	Tree Removal .....	18
7.2	Tree Retention & Protection .....	18
7.2.1	Project Arborist Engagement.....	18
7.2.2	Specific Tree Protection Measures.....	18
7.2.3	Excavation for Building Footprint and Stormwater Infrastructure .....	18
7.2.4	Root-Sensitive Paving.....	18
<b>8</b>	<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>20</b>

**APPENDICES**

APPENDIX 1 - TREE ASSESSMENT SCHEDULE

APPENDIX 2 - TREE LOCATION PLAN

APPENDIX 3 - IACA SIGNIFICANCE OF A TREE, ASSESSMENT RATING SYSTEM (STARS)

APPENDIX 4 - EXTRACT FROM AS4970-2009 PROTECTION OF TREES ON DEVELOPMENT SITES

APPENDIX 5 - GENERAL TREE PROTECTION SPECIFICATION

APPENDIX 6 - TYPICAL TREE PROTECTION DETAILS

**DISCLAIMER**

The Client acknowledges this Report, and any opinions, advice or recommendations expressed or given in it, are based on the information supplied by the Client and on the data, inspections, measurements and analysis carried out or obtained by CPS and referred to in the Report. No guarantee is implied with respect to future tree safety. The Client should rely on the Report and its contents, only to that extent.

# 1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA) has been prepared to accompany a Development Application (DA) which seeks consent for the construction of a new housing development at 10-16 Albert Street, Casino (the site).

The report relates to forty-one (41) trees located on and adjoining the subject site and provides an evaluation of the likely impact to existing trees (within the subject site, adjoining the site within 5m of the boundaries and within Council street verge areas) as a result of the proposed development.

A summary of those trees identified has been provided in **Table 1** below along with a description of their location, retention values and nominated retention/removal status under the proposal.

**Table 1** – Tree assessment summary

Tree No.	Genus & species Common Name	Location	Retention Value	Retain / Remove
1	<b><i>Callistemon salignus</i></b> Willow Bottlebrush	Street Tree	Medium	Retain & Protect
2	<b><i>Cupressus sp.</i></b> Cypress	Subject Site No. 16 Albert St	Low	Retain & Protect
3	<b><i>Cupressus sp.</i></b> Cypress	Subject Site No. 16 Albert St	Low	Retain & Protect
4	<b><i>Senna pendula var. glabrata</i></b> Cassia	Street Tree	Low	Retain & Protect
5	<b><i>Plumeria sp.</i></b> Frangipani	Subject Site No. 14 Albert St	Low	Retain & Protect
6	<b><i>Callistemon viminalis</i></b> Weeping Bottlebrush	Subject Site No. 14 Albert St	Low	Retain & Protect
7	<b><i>Callistemon citrinus</i></b> Crimson Bottlebrush	Street Tree	Low	Retain & Protect
8	<b><i>Melia azedarach</i></b> White Cedar	Subject Site No. 14 Albert St	Low	Retain & Protect
9	<b><i>Melia azedarach</i></b> White Cedar	Subject Site No. 14 Albert St	Low	Remove
10	<b><i>Murraya paniculata</i></b> Orange Jessamine	Subject Site No. 14 Albert St	Low	Remove
11	<b><i>Mangifera indica</i></b> Mango	Subject Site No. 16 Albert St	Medium	Remove
12	<b><i>Macadamia tetraphylla</i></b> Rough-shelled Macadamia	Subject Site No. 16 Albert St	High	Retain & Protect
13	<b><i>Mangifera indica</i></b> Mango	Subject Site No. 16 Albert St	Medium	Remove

Tree No.	Genus & species Common Name	Location	Retention Value	Retain / Remove
14	<b>Prunus sp.</b> Prunus	Subject Site No. 16 Albert St	Low	Remove
15	<b>Carica papaya</b> Papaya	Subject Site No. 16 Albert St	Low	Retain & Protect
16	<b>Citrus sp.</b> Citrus	Subject Site No. 14 Albert St	Low	Remove
17	<b>Macadamia tetraphylla</b> Rough-shelled Macadamia	Subject Site No. 14 Albert St	Low	Remove
18	<b>Flindersia australis</b> Australian Teak	Subject Site No. 14 Albert St	Medium	Retain & Protect
19	<b>Syagrus romanzoffiana</b> Cocos Palm	Subject Site No. 16 Albert St	Low	Retain & Protect
20	<b>Prunus sp.</b> Prunus	Subject Site No. 14 Albert St	Low	Remove
21	<b>Delonix regia</b> Poinciana	Subject Site No. 14 Albert St	Medium	Retain & Protect
22	<b>Dracaena marginata</b> Dragon Tree	Subject Site No. 14 Albert St	Low	Remove
23	<b>Psidium cattleianum</b> Strawberry Guava	Subject Site No. 14 Albert St	Medium	Retain & Protect
24	<b>Nerium oleander</b> Oleander	Street Tree	Low	Retain & Protect
25	<b>Glochidion ferdinandi</b> Cheese Tree	Subject Site No. 12 Albert St	Low	Remove
26	<b>Callistemon viminalis</b> Weeping Bottlebrush	Subject Site No. 10 Albert St	Low	Remove
27	<b>Callistemon viminalis</b> Weeping Bottlebrush	Subject Site No. 10 Albert St	Low	Retain & Protect
28	<b>Melaleuca linariifolia</b> Flax-leaved Paperbark	Subject Site No. 12 Albert St	Low	Remove
29	<b>Melaleuca linariifolia</b> Flax-leaved Paperbark	Subject Site No. 12 Albert St	Low	Remove
30	<b>Melaleuca linariifolia</b> Flax-leaved Paperbark	Subject Site No. 12 Albert St	Low	Remove
31	<b>Syzygium sp.</b> Lilly Pilly	Subject Site No. 12 Albert St	Medium	Remove
32	<b>Psidium guajava</b> Guava	Subject Site No. 12 Albert St	Low	Remove

Tree No.	Genus & species Common Name	Location	Retention Value	Retain / Remove
33	<b>Unknown species</b> -	Subject Site No. 10 Albert St	Priority for Removal	Remove
34	<b>Citrus sp.</b> Citrus	Subject Site No. 10 Albert St	Low	Remove
35	<b>Erythrina crista-galli</b> Cockspur Coral Tree	Neighbouring allotment No. 8 Albert Street	Medium	Retain & Protect
36	<b>Psidium guajava</b> Guava	Neighbouring allotment No. 8 Albert Street	Low	Retain & Protect
37	<b>Callistemon viminalis</b> Weeping Bottlebrush	Neighbouring allotment No. 8 Albert Street	Medium	Retain & Protect
38	<b>Macadamia tetraphylla</b> Rough-shelled Macadamia	Subject Site No. 10 Albert St	Medium	Remove
39	<b>Lagerstroemia indica</b> Crepe Myrtle	Subject Site No. 10 Albert St	Low	Retain & Protect
40	<b>Lagerstroemia indica</b> Crepe Myrtle	Neighbouring allotment No. 8 Albert Street	Low	Retain & Protect
41	<b>Lagerstroemia indica</b> Crepe Myrtle	Subject Site No. 10 Albert St	Low	Remove

Based on the plans supplied and should the proposed works proceed in their current form, it is recommended that twenty (20) trees be removed (**Trees 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17, 20, 22, 25, 26, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 38 & 41**) and twenty-one (21) trees be retained and protected (**Trees 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 12, 15, 18, 19, 21, 23, 24, 27, 35, 36, 37, 39 & 40**).

Specific recommendations as per **Section 7** will need to be adopted to ensure root sensitive construction techniques and methodology are employed which mitigate any potential negative impacts to retained trees.

## 2 INTRODUCTION

### 2.1 Background

This Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA) was commissioned by Barry Rush & Associates Pty Ltd on the 22<sup>nd</sup> of November 2022 to evaluate the potential impacts that proposed development works will have on existing trees located on and adjacent to the subject site at 10-16 Albert Street, Casino (refer to **Figure 1**).

Accordingly, the purpose of this report is to assess the potential impact of the proposed development on the subject trees, as well as provide recommendations for further amendments to the design or construction methodology where necessary to minimise any adverse impact. The report also provides recommended tree protection measures to ensure the long-term preservation of the trees to be retained where appropriate.

### 2.2 Objectives

This report has been prepared to assess the level of impact development works are likely to cause to existing trees and make a determination as to whether trees will be adversely affected. The report will provide guidance as to those trees requiring removal, retention or protection in accordance with the provisions of *AS4970-2009 Protection of trees on development sites*. Where necessary, it will also provide recommendations for design modifications and any replacement planting. As such, the objectives of this report are as follows:

- Assess the current site and growing conditions of trees;
- Assess the current health, condition, lifespan & significance of the trees within the site;
- Identify relative retention values of trees within the site;
- Calculate anticipated encroachment levels resulting from proposed works;
- Determine the likely impact as a result of the calculated encroachments;
- Assess potential for retention and protection of trees where possible;
- Advise any design modifications necessary to retain important trees;
- Recommend tree and root sensitive design and construction methodologies to mitigate impacts to trees to be retained;
- Inform of any tree removal necessary due to unsustainable impacts;
- Provide guidance and recommendations for any replacement planting necessary.

No aerial inspection, root mapping or internal diagnostic testing have been carried out as part of this report. Additionally, no cation exchange capacity testing or plant tissue analysis has been undertaken.

### 2.3 Legislation & Regulating Documents

This Arboricultural Impact Assessment has considered the following regulatory documents:

- *State Environmental Planning Policy (Biodiversity and Conservation) 2021*
- *Richmond Valley Local Environmental Plan 2012 (Richmond Valley LEP 2012)*
- *Richmond Valley Development Control Plan 2021 (Richmond Valley DCP 2021)*
- *Greater Sydney Regional Strategic Weed Management Plan 2017-2022 (GSRSWMP)*

## 2.4 Documentation Received

The following documents were received and have been relied upon for this Assessment:

**Table 2** – Documentation received and reviewed as part of the Arboricultural Impact Assessment

Document Description	Author	Revision No. / Date
Architectural Plans	Barry Rush & Associates	- / 27 <sup>th</sup> April 2023
Landscape Plan	Greenland Design	A / 4 <sup>th</sup> May 2023
Stormwater Plans	Erbas	P1 / 17 <sup>th</sup> May 2023
Detail Survey	RPS Australia East	3 / 16 <sup>th</sup> February 2023

Note: care has been taken to obtain all information from reliable sources; however, the author makes no representations, guarantees or warranties as to the accuracy of information provided by others. No other information has been reviewed, should this become available impacts may be subject to change.

## 2.5 The Site

The site is known as 10-16 Albert Street, Casino and is legally described as Lots 22, 23, 24 & 25 in DP 36280 (the site). The site is located on the western side of Albert Street and currently contains four (4) detached single storey residential dwellings with front and rear open garden areas (refer to **Figure 1** below).

## 2.6 Proposed Development

The proposed development is for the construction of a housing development consisting of six (6) detached buildings containing a total of seven (7) townhouses and ten (10) villas with associated driveways and at-grade carparking area (refer to **Figure 2** below).

Specifically, those works considered likely to impact the existing trees on and adjoining the subject site include new building footprints, concrete paved POS and stormwater infrastructure.

## 2.7 Limitations


Trees are living organisms whose health and condition can change rapidly. The conclusions and recommendations in this report are valid for one (1) year only from the date of the report, unless otherwise stated. Any changes to the site as it stands at present, for example building extensions, excavation works, importing of soils, extreme weather events etc. will invalidate this report. Any reproduction of this report must be in full colour using the report in its entirety.





**Figure 1** – Aerial image indicating subject site (outlined dashed red)  
**Source:** SIX Maps (Accessed May 2023)





**Figure 2 – Site Plan extract showing the proposed layout of the development**

**Source:** Barry Rush & Associates April 2023

## 3 METHOD

### 3.1 Method

#### 3.1.1 Site Inspection

A site inspection was carried out by the author with the subject trees and the general growing environment evaluated on the 30<sup>th</sup> of November 2022. The weather at the time of inspections was sunny and dry with good visibility.

The subject trees were inspected visually from ground level with the following information recorded and provided in tabulated form at **Appendix 1**:

- Tree Species (Botanical & Common Name);
- Approximate height;
- Approximate canopy spread;
- Trunk Diameter (measured at 1.4 metres from ground level);
- Trunk Diameter at base (above root crown);
- Age class;
- Health & vigour; using foliage size, colour, extension growth, presence of disease or pest infestation, canopy density, presence of deadwood, dieback and epicormic growth as indicators;
- Condition; using visible evidence of structural defects, instability, evidence of previous pruning and physical damage as indicators;
- Suitability of the tree to the site and its existing location;
- Safe Useful Life Expectancy (SULE).

#### 3.1.2 Safe Useful Life Expectancy (SULE)

The remaining Safe Useful Life Expectancy of a tree is an estimate of the sustainability of the tree in the landscape, calculated based on an estimate of the average age of the species in an urban area, less its estimated current age. The life expectancy of each tree has been further modified where necessary in consideration of its current health, vigour, condition and suitability to the site. The estimated SULE of each tree is shown in **Appendix 1**.

The following ranges have been allocated to each tree:

- Long SULE: Trees that appear to be retainable with an acceptable level of risk for > 40 years.
- Medium SULE: Trees that appear to be retainable with an acceptable level of risk for 15 to 40 years.
- Short SULE: Trees that appear to be retainable with an acceptable level of risk for 5–15 years.
- Remove: Trees with a high level of risk that would need removing within the next 5 years.
- Small, Young or Regularly Pruned.

#### 3.1.3 Landscape Significance

The landscape significance of a tree is an essential criterion to establish the importance that a particular tree may have on a site. Several factors contribute towards the assessment of a tree's significance including but not limited to condition and vigour, form, visual prominence, heritage status, indigeneity, legislative protection, cultural sentiment and future growth potential.

For the purposes of this report the Australian Institute of Consulting Arborists (IACA) Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS)® has been utilised. The system uses a scale of High, Medium and Low significance in the landscape. Once the landscape significance of an individual tree has been defined, the retention value can be determined.

**Appendix 3** provides a full outline of assessment criteria for each significance rating as per IACA STARS (2010).

### 3.1.4 Retention Value

Retention values have been determined for each tree on site to establish a hierarchy for tree retention. Retention values are based on estimated life spans and their associated landscape significance rating in accordance with the Tree Retention Value Priority Matrix. This matrix established the following retention values and can be found at **Appendix 3** with attributed retention values found within **Appendix 1**:

-	Priority for Retention ( <u>High</u> )
-	Consider for Retention ( <u>Medium</u> )
-	Consider for Removal ( <u>Low</u> )
-	Priority for Removal

### 3.1.5 AS4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites

The Australian Standard, AS4970-2009- '*Protection of trees on development sites*', has been used as a guide to provide recommendations for the assessed trees. The Standard provides guidance on the principles for protecting trees on land subject to development as well as principles for determining viability of tree retention. Terminology and recommended methods are consistent with AS4970-2009.

### 3.1.6 Tree Protection Zones

The assessed trees have been allocated Tree Protection Zones (TPZ). The Australian Standard, AS4970-2009- '*Protection of trees on development sites*', has been used as a guide in the allocation of TPZs for the assessed trees. The TPZ is calculated based on trunk (stem) diameter at breast height (DBH), measured at 1.4 metres above ground level. The radius of the TPZ is calculated by multiplying the trees DBH by 12. The method provides a TPZ that addresses health and growing requirements of a tree as well as the trees stability. TPZ distances are measured as a radius from the centre of the trunk at (or near) ground level. The maximum TPZ should be no more than 15m radius and the minimum TPZ should be no less than 2m radius.

An extract of the AS4970-2009 for calculating TPZ has been provided at **Appendix 4** for reference.

### 3.1.7 Structural Root Zone

The assessed trees have been allocated Structural Root Zones (SRZ). The Australian Standard, AS4970-2009 - '*Protection of trees on development sites*', has been used as a guide in the allocation of SRZ's for the assessed trees. The SRZ is a radial area extending outwards from the centre of the trunk and is calculated as follows:

$$\text{SRZ (Radius)} = (D \times 50)^{0.42} \times 0.64$$

## 4 OBSERVATIONS

### 4.1 General

The site area subject to this assessment was observed as highly disturbed with negligible understorey present. Species observed varied including exotic, native and locally indigenous species. Health, vigour and condition was varied across the trees forming part of the assessment. Root zones of assessed trees were generally observed as modified groundcover within deep soil areas. No heritage listed trees were identified on site, nor were any species observed that are listed as vulnerable, endangered or critically endangered. Similarly, no endangered or critically endangered ecological communities were observed.

### 4.2 Tree Preservation Order

While there are no specific provisions included within the DCP that protect trees or vegetation, Part H-4.3 *Terrestrial Biodiversity* of the Richmond Valley DCP 2021 provides protective responses and mitigation measures for sensitive environmental locations throughout the Richmond Valley LGA.

### 4.3 State Environment Planning Policy (Biodiversity and Conservation) 2021

In accordance with Part 2.1, Clause 2.3(1)(b) of State Environment Planning Policy (Biodiversity and Conservation) 2021 (Biodiversity and Conservation SEPP), this Policy is applicable to the subject land given the site is located within zone R1: General Residential under the *Richmond Valley LEP 2012*. As outlined by Part 2.1 Clause 2.1 of the Biodiversity and Conservation SEPP, the aims of this policy are:

- (a) *to protect the biodiversity values of trees and other vegetation in non-rural areas of the State, and;*
- (b) *to preserve the amenity of non-rural areas of the State through the preservation of trees and other vegetation.*

The aims of this policy are considered to have been achieved as part of the proposed development through the preservation and protection of amenity and biodiversity values provided by trees and other vegetation on site wherever possible. Adverse impacts have been mitigated and overall vegetation loss reduced through careful consideration of building footprints and associated infrastructure throughout the design development process. The report also provides detailed tree protection measures and technical specifications to ensure the ongoing health, viability and preservation of the trees to be retained throughout construction.

### 4.4 The Trees

A total of seven (7) trees were observed within and adjoining the subject site which have been surveyed as part of this assessment. All tree data recorded on site has been tabulated and is contained at **Appendix 1**. Each tree has been provided with an identification number for reference purposes and is denoted on the attached Tree Location Plan at **Appendix 2**.

Thirty-three (33) of the trees observed fell within the boundaries of the subject site. Of these, seven (7) trees (**Trees 26, 27, 33, 34, 38, 39 & 41**) were observed to be located within No. 10 Albert Street, six (6) trees (**Trees 25, 28, 29, 30, 31 & 32**) were observed to be located within No. 12 Albert Street, twelve (12) trees (**Trees 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22 & 23**) were observed to be located within No. 14

Albert Street, and eight (8) trees (**Trees 2, 3, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 & 19**) were observed to be located within No. 16 Albert Street.

Four (4) tree (**Trees 35, 36, 37 & 40**) were observed outside the site boundaries in the neighbouring allotment No. 8 Albert Street. The remaining four (4) trees (**Trees 1, 4, 7 & 24**) were observed to be located within the Council Street verge.



## 5 DISCUSSION

### 5.1 Impact Assessment

The impact assessment is to calculate the incursions to the root zones and canopies as a result of the proposed demolition and construction works and evaluate the likely impact of the proposed works on the subject trees. A summary of the impacts anticipated is contained within the Tree Schedule at **Appendix 1**. Additionally, plans demonstrating the level of incursion and conflict to TPZ's and SRZ's can be found at **Appendix 2**. As part of the assessment the following criteria have been considered:

- Existing Relative Levels (R.L.);
- Proposed Relative Levels;
- Tree Protection Zones (TPZ);
- Structural Root Zones (SRZ);
- Footprint of the proposed development (incl. stormwater and services) and temporary structures (scaffolding, hoardings etc.);
- Incursions to the TPZ & SRZ, including estimated cut & fill beyond the building footprint;
- Incursions to the tree canopy from the building envelope and temporary structures;
- Pruning necessary for building clearance;
- Remediation works for soil contaminants;
- Species tolerance to disturbance; and
- Assessment of the likely impact of the works on existing trees.

### 5.2 Trees Recommended for Removal

Should the proposed works proceed in their current form, it is recommended that twenty (20) site trees (**Trees 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17, 20, 22, 25, 26, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 38 & 41**) be removed. Removals have been recommended based upon;

- Location within the proposed buildings, driveway, carparking area or stormwater works footprint; or
- Unsustainable 'major' encroachment into Tree Protection Zones and Structural Root Zones

Refer to **Appendix 2** for a plan indicating the location of trees that will require removal (dashed red).

**Table 3** – Trees recommended for removal

Tree No.	Genus & Species	Retention Value	Reason for Removal
9	<i>Melia azedarach</i> White Cedar	Low	Major 35% TPZ + 20% SRZ incursion from proposed front entry path, driveway and stormwater works
10	<i>Murraya paniculata</i> Orange Jessamine	Low	Full encroachment. Located within the proposed driveway footprint.
11	<i>Mangifera indica</i> Mango	Medium	Full encroachment. Located within the proposed building footprint.
13	<i>Mangifera indica</i> Mango	Medium	Full encroachment. Located within the proposed building footprint.
14	<i>Prunus sp.</i> Prunus	Low	Major 11% TPZ + 11% SRZ incursion from proposed building

Tree No.	Genus & Species	Retention Value	Reason for Removal
16	<b>Citrus sp.</b> Citrus	Low	Full encroachment. Located within the proposed carparking area.
17	<b>Macadamia tetraphylla</b> Rough-shelled Macadamia	Low	Full encroachment. Located within the proposed carparking area.
20	<b>Prunus sp.</b> Prunus	Low	Full encroachment. Located within the proposed private open space area.
22	<b>Dracaena marginata</b> Dragon Tree	Low	Full encroachment. Located within the proposed carparking area.
25	<b>Glochidion ferdinandi</b> Cheese Tree	Low	Full encroachment. Located within the proposed building footprint.
26	<b>Callistemon viminalis</b> Weeping Bottlebrush	Low	Full encroachment. Located within proposed stormwater works.
28	<b>Melaleuca linariifolia</b> Flax-leaved Paperbark	Low	Full encroachment. Located within the proposed carparking area.
29	<b>Melaleuca linariifolia</b> Flax-leaved Paperbark	Low	Full encroachment. Located within the proposed carparking area.
30	<b>Melaleuca linariifolia</b> Flax-leaved Paperbark	Low	Full encroachment. Located within the proposed carparking area.
31	<b>Syzygium sp.</b> Lilly Pilly	Medium	Full encroachment. Located within proposed pathway.
32	<b>Psidium guajava</b> Guava	Low	Full encroachment. Located within the proposed carparking area.
33	<b>Unknown species</b> -	Priority for Removal	Full encroachment. Located within proposed front entry porch.
34	<b>Citrus sp.</b> Citrus	Low	Full encroachment. Located within the proposed building footprint.
38	<b>Macadamia tetraphylla</b> Rough-shelled Macadamia	Medium	Major 57% TPZ + 20% SRZ incursion from proposed buildings, pathway and stormwater works
41	<b>Lagerstroemia indica</b> Crepe Myrtle	Low	Major 31% TPZ + 31% SRZ incursion from proposed private open space area

### 5.3 Trees Recommended for Retention & Protection

Should the proposed works proceed in their current form, it is recommended that twenty-one (21) trees be retained and protected. This includes **Trees 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 12, 15, 18, 19, 21, 23, 24, 27, 35, 36, 37, 39 & 40.**

Specific recommendations as per **Section 7** will need to be adopted to ensure root sensitive construction techniques and methodology are employed which mitigate the potential negative impacts to significant trees.

Refer to **Appendix 2** for a plan indicating the location of trees that are to be retained and protected (shaded green).

**Table 4** – Trees recommended for retention & protection

Tree No.	Genus & Species	Retention Value	Works within the Tree Protection Zone (TPZ)
1	<b><i>Callistemon salignus</i></b> Willow Bottlebrush	Medium	Minor 2% TPZ incursion from entry path and stormwater works. Negligible impact likely subject to implementation of tree protection measures as per <b>Section 7.</b>
2	<b><i>Cupressus sp.</i></b> Cypress	Low	Minor 2% TPZ incursion from entry path and stormwater works. Negligible impact likely subject to implementation of tree protection measures as per <b>Section 7.</b>
3	<b><i>Cupressus sp.</i></b> Cypress	Low	No works proposed within the TPZ.
4	<b><i>Senna pendula var. glabrata</i></b> Cassia	Low	No works proposed within the TPZ.
5	<b><i>Plumeria sp.</i></b> Frangipani	Low	Minor 2% TPZ incursion from entry path and storm water works. Negligible impact likely subject to implementation of tree protection measures as per <b>Section 7.</b>
6	<b><i>Callistemon viminalis</i></b> Weeping Bottlebrush	Low	Major 15% TPZ + 2% SRZ incursion from proposed front entry path and stormwater works. Minor sustainable impact likely due to species known tolerance for moderate root disturbance and subject to implementation of protection measures as per <b>Section 7.</b>
7	<b><i>Callistemon citrinus</i></b> Crimson Bottlebrush	Low	No works proposed within the TPZ.
8	<b><i>Melia azedarach</i></b> White Cedar	Low	Major 13% TPZ incursion from proposed front entry path and driveway. Minor sustainable impact likely due to semi-mature age class of tree not expected to have significant/established root zone and subject to implementation of protection measures as per <b>Section 7.</b>

Tree No.	Genus & Species	Retention Value	Works within the Tree Protection Zone (TPZ)
12	<b>Macadamia tetraphylla</b> Rough-shelled Macadamia	High	Major 16% TPZ incursion from proposed building and pathway. Minor sustainable impact likely due to good health/vitality of specimen subject to implementation of root-sensitive excavation recommendation and protection measures as per <b>Section 7</b> .
15	<b>Carica papaya</b> Papaya	Low	No works proposed within TPZ
18	<b>Flindersia australis</b> Australian Teak	Medium	Major 35% TPZ and 16% SRZ incursion from proposed carparking area and pathways. Existing concrete slab on site is likely to have limited some root growth to the north. Permeable paving utilised for portion of pathway within TPZ/SRZ. Short-term decline to health and condition likely. Long-term viability to be assessed during Project Arborist supervision over course of works.
19	<b>Syagrus romanzoffiana</b> Cocos Palm	Low	No works proposed within TPZ
21	<b>Delonix regia</b> Poinciana	Medium	Major 42% TPZ incursion from proposed carparking area, driveway and pathway. Existing dwelling on site is likely to have limited some root growth to the east. Permeable paving utilised for portion of pathway within TPZ/SRZ. Short-term decline to health and condition likely. Long-term viability to be assessed during Project Arborist supervision over course of works.
23	<b>Psidium cattleianum</b> Strawberry Guava	Medium	Major 21% TPZ and 3% SRZ incursion from proposed carparking area. Minor sustainable impact likely due to species known tolerance for moderate root disturbance and subject to implementation of protection measures as per <b>Section 7</b> .
24	<b>Nerium oleander</b> Oleander	Low	No works proposed within TPZ
27	<b>Callistemon viminalis</b> Weeping Bottlebrush	Low	Major 10% TPZ + 2% SRZ from proposed front entry path. Minor sustainable impact likely due to species known tolerance for moderate root disturbance and subject to recommendations and protection measures as per <b>Section 7</b> .
35	<b>Erythrina crista-galli</b> Cockspur Coral Tree	Medium	Minor 9% TPZ incursion from proposed building and private open space area
36	<b>Psidium guajava</b> Guava	Low	Minor 4% TPZ incursion from proposed private open space area

Tree No.	Genus & Species	Retention Value	Works within the Tree Protection Zone (TPZ)
37	<i>Callistemon viminalis</i> Weeping Bottlebrush	Medium	No works proposed within TPZ
39	<i>Lagerstroemia indica</i> Crepe Myrtle	Low	Major 21% TPZ + 23% SRZ incursion from proposed private open space area. Minor sustainable impact likely due to species known tolerance for moderate root disturbance and subject to recommendations and protection measures as per <b>Section 7</b> .
40	<i>Lagerstroemia indica</i> Crepe Myrtle	Low	No works proposed within TPZ

#### 5.4 Ancillary Construction Related Impacts

Vehicles, machinery and equipment requiring access to the site have potential to result in inadvertent impacts to those trees being retained including compaction of the root zone, soil disturbance, physical damage to roots, trunk damage etc. and as such will require management.

Furthermore, storage and stockpiling of material may result in similar impacts and will require management. In this regard, protection for those trees to be retained is to be carried out in accordance with **Appendix 5**.



## 6 CONCLUSION

### 6.1 Proposed Development Impact

Based on the plans and information supplied, the proposal would result in the following impacts to existing trees on site:

**Removal** of twenty (20) trees, including:

- **Trees 9, 14, 38 & 41** due to major unsustainable encroachments into the TPZ and SRZ from the proposed buildings, pathways, driveways and stormwater works;
- **Trees 10, 11, 13, 16, 17, 20, 22, 25, 26, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33 & 34** due to being located within the proposed buildings, driveway, carparking area or stormwater works footprint.

**Retention and protection** of twenty-one (21) trees, including:

- Thirteen (13) site trees (**Trees 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 12, 15, 18, 19, 21, 23, 27 & 39**), four (4) Council Street trees (**Trees 1, 4, 7 & 24**) and four (4) neighbouring trees (**Trees 35, 36, 37 & 40**) which are generally located away from the proposed construction works. Impacts are considered tolerable and unlikely to impact long term health and viability pending implementation of tree protection measures and Project Arborist supervision.

Specific recommendations as per **Section 7** will need to be adopted to ensure root sensitive construction techniques and methodology are employed which mitigate the potential negative impacts to trees nominated for retention.

## 7 RECOMMENDATIONS

### 7.1 Tree Removal

Remove **Trees 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17, 20, 22, 25, 26, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 38 & 41** (20 trees) to facilitate the proposed development works.

Development consent and relevant approvals must be obtained from Richmond Valley Council prior to the removal or pruning of any tree on site.

All tree removal work is to be carried out by an experienced Arborist with minimum AQF Level 3 qualifications in accordance with AS4373-2007 - *Pruning of Amenity Trees*, Safe Work Australia Guide for Managing Risks of Tree Trimming and Removal Work (2016) and other applicable legislation.

### 7.2 Tree Retention & Protection

Retain and protect **Trees 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 12, 15, 18, 19, 21, 23, 24, 27, 35, 36, 37, 39 & 40** (21 trees) in accordance with the Tree Location Plan & Tree Protection Specifications held at **Appendix 2 & 5**, AS497-2009 *Protection of trees on development sites* and the specific recommendations below:

#### 7.2.1 Project Arborist Engagement

A Project Arborist experienced in tree protection on construction sites should be engaged prior to the commencement of any works on site. The Project Arborist shall monitor and report regularly to the Principal Certifying Authority (PCA) and the Applicant on the condition and protection of the retained trees during the works. The Project Arborist is to supervise and monitor any excavation, machine trenching or compacted fill placement within the TPZ of retained trees throughout construction.

#### 7.2.2 Specific Tree Protection Measures

Tree Protection Fencing must be installed as shown on the Tree Location & Protection Plan Specification held at **Appendix 2** and in accordance with Section 4.3 of AS4970-2009 and **Appendix 5**. Tree protection must not be removed or altered without prior approval of the Project Arborist.

#### 7.2.3 Excavation for Building Footprint and Stormwater Infrastructure

Excavation for stormwater lines and building footprint within the TPZ of **Tree 12** and excavation for the new carparking area and stormwater works within the TPZ of **Trees 18, 21 & 23** must be undertaken in a root sensitive manner to minimise root disturbance and severance. Initial excavation should be undertaken using non-motorised hand tools or pneumatic excavation device under the supervision of a Project Arborist. Pipes are to be threaded in between and/or under roots. Should significant roots (>40mmØ) be identified and conflict during construction, works are to cease, and direction sought from the Project Arborist with regards to root pruning, modification of construction methodology or design alteration.

#### 7.2.4 Root-Sensitive Paving

The proposed porous paved pathways within the TPZs of **Trees 18, 21 & 23** must be constructed entirely above the existing grade with no excavation carried out. Alternatively a lightweight, raised, low

profile decking or grate structure (FRP) should be utilised with minimal excavation for supports/piers under the supervision of a Project Arborist.

Should you have any queries in relation to the information presented, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,



**Greg Tesoriero**  
PRINCIPAL CONSULTING ARBORIST  
Dip. Hort. (Arboriculture) AQF Level 5  
Registered Consulting Arborist No. 3008  
QTRA No. 6291



## 8 REFERENCES

Council of Standards Australia. 2009 AS 4970 – 2009 – *Protection of Trees on Development Sites*  
Standards Australia, Sydney.

Council of Standards Australia. 2007 AS 4373 – 2007 – *Pruning of Amenity Trees*  
Standards Australia, Sydney.

Google Australia. 2023. *Google Maps*. [ONLINE] Available at: <https://www.google.com.au/maps>.  
[Accessed May 2023].

Mattheck, C 2007, *Updated Field Guide for Visual Tree Assessment*, 1<sup>st</sup> Ed., Forschungszentrum  
Karlsruhe, Germany

NSW Government – Local Land Services. 2019, Greater Sydney Regional Strategic Weed  
Management Plan 2017-2022

Richmond Valley Council. Richmond Valley Development Control Plan 2021

Six Maps. 2023, NSW Government. [ONLINE] Available at: <https://maps.six.nsw.gov.au/> [Accessed  
May 2023].

## APPENDIX 1: TREE ASSESSMENT SCHEDULE - 10-16 Albert Street, Casino

Tree No.	Genus & species Common Name	Height (m)	Crown Spread (m)	DBH #1 (mm)	DBH #2 (mm)	DBH #3 (mm)	DBH #4 (mm)	DGL (mm)	TPZ Radius (m)	SRZ Radius (m)	Age Class	Health / Vitality	Structure/ Condition	SULE Rating	Landscape Significance	Retention Value	Development Impact	Retain / Remove	Comments
1	<i>Callistemon salignus</i> Willow Bottlebrush	8	6	250	200	200	200	600	5.13	2.67	M	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Medium	Medium	Minor 2% TPZ incursion from entry path and storm water works	Retain & protect	Street tree. Pruned for powerline clearance. Mistletoe in canopy. Minor tip dieback.
2	<i>Cupressus sp.</i> Cypress	1	1					50	2.00	1.50	SM	Average	Fair	Short 5-15yrs	Low	Low	Minor 2% TPZ incursion from entry path and stormwater works	Retain & protect	Reduced foliage density. Branch dieback.
3	<i>Cupressus sp.</i> Cypress	1	1					50	2.00	1.50	SM	Average	Fair	Short 5-15yrs	Low	Low	No works proposed within TPZ	Retain & protect	Reduced foliage density. Branch dieback.
4	<i>Senna pendula var. glabrata</i> Cassia	2	2	50	50	50	50	100	2.00	1.50	M	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	No works proposed within TPZ	Retain & protect	Street tree. Shrub habit. Multi-stem from base.
5	<i>Plumeria sp.</i> Frangipani	4	4	150	100	100		250	2.47	1.85	M	Average	Fair	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Minor 2% TPZ incursion from entry path and storm water works	Retain & protect	Cambium damage throughout stems. Past pruning - crown lift.
6	<i>Callistemon viminalis</i> Weeping Bottlebrush	2	2	150	150			200	2.55	1.68	M	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Major 15% TPZ + 2% SRZ incursion from proposed front entry path and stormwater works	Retain & protect	Past pruning. Epicormic growth from pruning points. Mistletoe in canopy.
7	<i>Callistemon citrinus</i> Crimson Bottlebrush	2	1	50	50	50		200	2.00	1.68	SM	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	No works proposed within TPZ	Retain & protect	Street tree. Past pruning - crown lift.
8	<i>Melia azedarach</i> White Cedar	5	2	50	50			150	2.00	1.50	SM	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Major 13% TPZ incursion from proposed front entry path and driveway	Retain & protect	Co-dominant stems from base.
9	<i>Melia azedarach</i> White Cedar	6	1	150	150	50		200	2.62	1.68	OM	Poor	Average	Short 5-15yrs	Low	Low	Major 35% TPZ + 20% SRZ incursion from proposed front entry path, driveway and stormwater works	Remove	Major branch dieback. Epicormic growth off stems. Past topping.
10	<i>Murraya paniculata</i> Orange Jessamine	4	2	50	50	50	50	200	2.00	1.68	M	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Within proposed driveway	Remove	Shrub habit. Past pruning - crown lift.
11	<i>Mangifera indica</i> Mango	7	5	250				300	3.00	2.00	M	Average	Good	Medium 15-40yrs	Medium	Medium	Within proposed building	Remove	Minor chlorotic leaves and past pruning - crown lift.
12	<i>Macadamia tetraphylla</i> Rough-shelled Macadamia	12	7	300	300			550	5.09	2.57	M	Good	Good	Long 40yrs +	Medium	High	Major 16% TPZ incursion from proposed building and pathway	Retain & protect	Co-dominant stem from -.5m.
13	<i>Mangifera indica</i> Mango	6	4	200				250	2.40	1.85	M	Average	Good	Medium 15-40yrs	Medium	Medium	Within proposed building	Remove	Minor chlorotic leaves and past pruning - crown lift.
14	<i>Prunus sp.</i> Prunus	2	2	50	50	50	50	100	2.00	1.50	M	Fair	Fair	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Major 11% TPZ + 11% SRZ incursion from proposed building	Remove	Branch failures. Shrub habit.
15	<i>Carica papaya</i> Papaya	2	1	100				150	2.00	1.50	M	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	No works proposed within TPZ	Retain & protect	Sparse foliage.
16	<i>Citrus sp.</i> Citrus	5	3	200				250	2.40	1.85	M	Average	Fair	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Within proposed carparking area	Remove	past lopping of co-dominant stem. Moderate chlorotic foliage. Minor tip dieback.
17	<i>Macadamia tetraphylla</i> Rough-shelled Macadamia	8	5	300	200	150	150	450	5.02	2.37	M	Fair	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Within proposed carparking area	Remove	Reduced foliage density. Tip dieback. Vine throughout canopy. Multi-stem from base. Past pruning.
18	<i>Flindersia australis</i> Australian Teak	11	6	300				400	3.60	2.25	M	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Medium	Medium	Major 35% TPZ and 16% SRZ incursion from proposed carparking area and pathways	Retain & protect	Symmetrical form. Minor tip dieback.
19	<i>Syagrus romanzoffiana</i> Cocos Palm	14	5	300				400	3.50	N/A	M	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	No works proposed within TPZ	Retain & protect	Nil.



Tree No.	Genus & species Common Name	Height (m)	Crown Spread (m)	DBH #1 (mm)	DBH #2 (mm)	DBH #3 (mm)	DBH #4 (mm)	DGL (mm)	TPZ Radius (m)	SRZ Radius (m)	Age Class	Health / Vitality	Structure/ Condition	SULE Rating	Landscape Significance	Retention Value	Development Impact	Retain / Remove	Comments
20	<i>Prunus sp.</i> Prunus	2	2	50	50	50		100	2.00	1.50	OM	Poor	Fair	Short 5-15yrs	Low	Low	Within proposed private open space footprint	Remove	Past topping. Branch dieback. Shrub habit.
21	<i>Delonix regia</i> Poinciana	6	11	250	250	250		400	5.20	2.25	M	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Medium	Medium	Major 42% TPZ incursion from proposed carparking area, driveway and pathway	Retain & protect	Past pruning - building clearance.
22	<i>Dracaena marginata</i> Dragon Tree	5	2	100	100	50	50	300	2.00	N/A	M	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Within proposed carparking area	Remove	Past pruning/topping.
23	<i>Psidium cattleianum</i> Strawberry Guava	6	4	150	150	100	100	400	3.06	2.25	M	Fair	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Medium	Medium	Major 21% TPZ and 3% SRZ incursion from proposed carparking area	Retain & protect	Branch dieback. Multi-stem from base. Vine throughout canopy. Major stem necrotic.
24	<i>Nerium oleander</i> Oleander	3	2	50	50	50	50	250	2.00	1.85	OM	Poor	Fair	Short 5-15yrs	Low	Low	No works proposed within TPZ	Retain & protect	Street tree. Mistle toe dominating canopy. Tip dieback. Multi-stem from base.
25	<i>Glochidion ferdinandi</i> Cheese Tree	3	1	50	50	50	50	150	2.00	1.50	SM	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Within proposed building	Remove	Growing through metal fence. Multi-stem from base.
26	<i>Callistemon viminalis</i> Weeping Bottlebrush	5	5	100	100	100	100	350	2.40	2.13	M	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Within proposed stormwater works	Remove	Mistle toe throughout canopy.
27	<i>Callistemon viminalis</i> Weeping Bottlebrush	4	3	50	50	50	50	150	2.00	1.50	M	Fair	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Major 10% TPZ + 2% SRZ from proposed front entry path	Retain & protect	Reduced foliage density.
28	<i>Melaleuca linariifolia</i> Flax-leaved Paperbark	5	5	100	100	100	50	300	2.16	2.00	M	Fair	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Within proposed carparking area	Remove	Reduced foliage density. Vine throughout canopy.
29	<i>Melaleuca linariifolia</i> Flax-leaved Paperbark	5	6	200	200	100		300	3.60	2.00	M	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Within proposed carparking area	Remove	Reduced foliage density. Minor branch failures.
30	<i>Melaleuca linariifolia</i> Flax-leaved Paperbark	6	5	100	100	50		250	2.00	1.85	M	Fair	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Within proposed carparking area	Remove	Reduced foliage density. Minor branch failures. Minor mistle toe in canopy.
31	<i>Syzygium sp.</i> Lilly Pilly	8	6	200	300			400	4.33	2.25	M	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Medium	Medium	Within proposed pathway	Remove	Past pruning/lopping - building clearance.
32	<i>Psidium guajava</i> Guava	5	6	150	100	100	100	500	2.75	2.47	M	Fair	Fair	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Within proposed carparking area	Remove	Multi-stem from base. Vine throughout canopy.
33	<i>Unknown species</i> -	9	6	550				600	6.60	2.67	S	Poor	Fair	Dead	Low	Priority for Removal	Within proposed front entry porch	Remove	Defoliated. Appears necrotic.
34	<i>Citrus sp.</i> Citrus	2	2	50	50	50	50	150	2.00	1.50	M	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Within proposed building	Remove	Vine throughout canopy.
35	<i>Erythrina crista-galli</i> Cockspur Coral Tree	8	8	650				750	7.80	2.93	M	Average	Fair	Medium 15-40yrs	Medium	Medium	Minor 9% TPZ incursion from proposed building and private open space area	Retain & protect	Neighbouring tree. Vine dominating canopy.
36	<i>Psidium guajava</i> Guava	9	7	150	100	100	100	400	2.75	2.25	M	Average	Fair	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Minor 4% TPZ incursion from proposed private open space area	Retain & protect	Neighbouring tree. Vine dominating canopy.
37	<i>Callistemon viminalis</i> Weeping Bottlebrush	13	9	550				600	6.60	2.67	M	Good	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Medium	Medium	No works proposed within TPZ	Retain & protect	Neighbouring tree. Mistle toe and vine throughout northern canopy.
38	<i>Macadamia tetraphylla</i> Rough-shelled Macadamia	9	7	200	200	200	200	400	4.80	2.25	M	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Medium	Medium	Major 57% TPZ + 20% SRZ incursion from proposed buildings, pathway and stormwater works	Remove	Vine throughout canopy.
39	<i>Lagerstroemia indica</i> Crepe Myrtle	7	6	100	100	100	50	400	2.16	2.25	M	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Major 21% TPZ + 23% SRZ incursion from proposed private open space area	Retain & protect	Multi-stem from base.

Tree No.	Genus & species Common Name	Height (m)	Crown Spread (m)	DBH #1 (mm)	DBH #2 (mm)	DBH #3 (mm)	DBH #4 (mm)	DGL (mm)	TPZ Radius (m)	SRZ Radius (m)	Age Class	Health / Vitality	Structure/ Condition	SULE Rating	Landscape Significance	Retention Value	Development Impact	Retain / Remove	Comments
40	<i>Lagerstroemia indica</i> Crepe Myrtle	6	5	50	50	50	50	400	2.00	2.25	M	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	No works proposed within TPZ	Retain & protect	Multi-stem from base.
41	<i>Lagerstroemia indica</i> Crepe Myrtle	7	6	100	100	100	50	400	2.16	2.25	M	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Major 31% TPZ + 31% SRZ incursion from proposed private open space area	Remove	Multi-stem from base.

Tree Inspection Data Notes & Terminology

Tree No. (Tree Number)

The tree number associated to each tree located on or adjacent to the subject site. Relates to the Tree Location Plan held at Appendix 2.

Botanical Name and Common Name

The botanical and common name of each tree is identified and recorded. Occasionally the exact species name is unknown; sp. is recorded to indicate this.

Height, Crown Width and DBH

- The trees height and crown spread is recorded in metres (m);
- The tree DBH is recorded in millimetres (mm). DBH is an abbreviation of Diameter (of the trunk) measured at Breast Height (or 1.4m from the base of the trunk). If more than one trunk is present the DBH is calculated in accordance with AS4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites

Age Class

The age class of each tree is estimated as either:

- IM – Immature refers to well established but juvenile tree
- SM – Semi Mature, a tree that has not grown to mature size
- M – Mature, a tree that has reached mature size and will slowly increase in size over time
- OM – Over Mature, a tree that has been mature for a long period and is beginning to display signs of decline, e.g. large dead branches
- S – Senescent, an over mature tree that is now in decline

Health & Condition

The trees health and vigour is recorded as a measurement of:

- Good** - the tree does not appear to appear stressed with no excessive dieback, insect infestation, decay, deadwood or epicormic shoots
- Average** - the tree appears stressed and has some crown dieback, and /or a few epicormic shoots, and/or some deadwood in the crown and some new growth at branch tips. These trees may benefit from remediation of the growing environment to reduce stress and return it to good health
- Fair** - the tree may have areas of crown dieback, and/or epicormic shoots, and/or areas of decay, and/or reduced new growth at branch tips. These trees have been stressed for a short period of time, remediation of the growing environment may improve trees health
- Poor** - the tree may have large areas of crown dieback, and/or many epicormic shoots, and/or reduced new growth at branch tips. These trees have been stressed for a long period of time, remediation of the growing environment would not return the tree to good health.

SRZ (Structural Root Zone)

The SRZ is a radial area extending outwards from the centre of the trunk. This area contains the majority of the structural woody roots. This area is responsible primarily for stability. Root damage or root loss within this zone greatly increases the opportunity for decay fungi to ingress into the heartwood, causing internal decay in addition to destabilising the trees structural integrity. The SRZ is calculated as follows (This calculation is taken from the Australian Standard 4970 – 2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites): (D x 50)/0.42 x 0.64

TPZ (Tree Protection Zone)

The TPZ is a radial area measured by multiplying the DBH by twelve (12) or a circular area the size of the trees drip line, whichever is greater. This area contains the majority of the structural and feeder roots responsible for stability, gaseous exchange and water and nutrient uptake. Excavation, back filling, compaction or other disturbance should not occur in this area. The TPZ is used to identify the minimum area required for the safe retention of a given tree. This calculation is derived from the Australian Standard 4970-2009 Protection of Trees in Development Sites. An incursion up to 10% within the TPZ is potentially acceptable if no other option is available. A major encroachment (in excess of 10%) is required to be clearly justified by the Project Arborist and compensated for elsewhere. Justification methodology may vary depending on site or individual tree's health, vigour and ability to withstand disturbance and may require root investigation.

Landscape Significance

The landscape significance of a tree or group of trees is determined using a combination of health/vigour/condition, amenity, heritage and ecological values in accordance with IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS)® (IACA 2010)®.

1. High Significance in Landscape
2. Medium Significance in Landscape
3. Low Significance in Landscape

Retention Value (RV)

Determined by [1] tree free of visual defects and viable for retention, [2] viable for retention with minor faults which may reduce SULE, [3] trees which should not restrict development applications containing faults that are likely to become problematic in the short term, [4] trees to be considered for removal due to average condition.

**High Retention** - These trees are considered important for retention and should be retained and protected. Design modification or re-location of building/s should be considered to accommodate the setbacks as prescribed by the Australian Standard AS4970 Protection of trees on development sites. Tree sensitive construction measures must be implemented e.g. pier and beam etc. if works are to proceed within the Tree Protection Zone.

**Medium Retention** - These trees may be retained and protected. These are considered less critical; however their retention should remain priority with removal considered only if adversely affecting the proposed building/works and all other alternatives have been considered and exhausted.

**Low Retention** - These trees are not considered important for retention, nor require special works or design modification to be implemented for their retention.

**Priority for Removal** - These trees are considered hazardous, or in irreversible decline, or weeds and should be removed irrespective of development.

S.U.L.E. Categories

Safe Useful Life Expectancy (after Barrell 1996, modified by the author). A trees S.U.L.E. category is the life expectancy of the tree modified first by its age, health, condition, safety and location. S.U.L.E. assessments may be modified as dictated by changes in trees health and environment.

- Long** - Appear retainable at the time of assessment for over 40 years with an acceptable degree of risk assuming reasonable maintenance.
- Medium** - Appear to be retainable at the time of assessment for 15 to 40 years with an acceptable degree of risk assuming reasonable maintenance.
- Short** - Trees appear to be retainable at the time of assessment for 5 to 15 years with an acceptable degree of risk assuming reasonable maintenance.
- Very Short** - Removal - Trees which should be scheduled for removal within the very short term or as specified within this report.
- Small, Young or Regularly Pruned** – Trees under 5m in height that can be easily moved or replaced, includes screen plantings or hedge lines.

Development Impact

Brief outline of the impact of the proposed development works or ancillary construction related activities likely to impact the tree.

Retain/Remove

The proposed removal or retention recommendation in light of the proposed development related impacts.

**NOTES:** This report acknowledges the current Australian Standards 'Protection of Trees on Development Sites' AS 4970 – 2009 with reference to the Tree Protection Zone (TPZ); being a combination of the root and crown area requiring protection. The TPZ takes into consideration the Structural Root Zone (SRZ): The area required for tree stability. Determined by AS4970 - 2009 Figure 1, Table of determining the SRZ, section 3.3.5 of the standards. The standard states where a greater than 10% encroachment occurs the arborist is to take into consideration the schedule of determining impacts as set within AS4970 s. 3.3.4. Encroachments are referred to within this report as major or minor encroachments (AS4970 s. 3.3.2 & 3.3.3). Below is the terminology used for estimated percentage of development incursion used within this report. To retain specific trees and ensure their viability, development must take into consideration protection of the TPZ radius. The extent of inclusion within the TPZ radius has been categorised within this report as follows:

- <10% - negligible incursion
- >10 - <15% - low to moderate level of incursion
- >15 - <20% - moderate level of incursion
- >20 - <25% - moderate to high level of incursion
- >25 - <35% - high level of incursion
- >35% - significant incursion within the TPZ



### LEGEND



**CIVIL, STRUCTURAL, HYDRAULIC, ELECTRICAL AND SPECIALIST WATER FEATURE WORKS :**  
Refer to specialist and consultant's drawings for all information contained within these documents relating to and nominated as specialist and consultant work. Specialist and consultant drawing information contained in the landscape documents are indicative only and not for construction or certification purposes.

PROJECT


**PROPOSED  
DEVELOPMENT**

DRAWING TITLE

TREE LOCATION PLAN

CLIENT \_\_\_\_\_

BARRY RUSH &  
ASSOCIATES

Drawn : JHG  
 Designed : GT  
 Project No. : F420  
 Bar Scale  
  
 1:250 @ A3  


---

SHEET NUMBER	REVISION
F420_TLP_01	A



## APPENDIX 3

### IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS)© (IACA 2010)©

In the development of this document IACA acknowledges the contribution and original concept of the Footprint Green Tree Significance & Retention Value Matrix, developed by Footprint Green Pty Ltd in June 2001.

The landscape significance of a tree is an essential criterion to establish the importance that a particular tree may have on a site. However, rating the significance of a tree becomes subjective and difficult to ascertain in a consistent and repetitive fashion due to assessor bias. It is therefore necessary to have a rating system utilising structured qualitative criteria to assist in determining the retention value for a tree. To assist this process all definitions for terms used in the *Tree Significance - Assessment Criteria and Tree Retention Value - Priority Matrix*, are taken from the IACA Dictionary for Managing Trees in Urban Environments 2009.

This rating system will assist in the planning processes for proposed works, above and below ground where trees are to be retained on or adjacent a development site. The system uses a scale of *High*, *Medium* and *Low* significance in the landscape. Once the landscape significance of an individual tree has been defined, the retention value can be determined. An example of its use in an Arboricultural report is shown as Appendix A.

#### **Tree Significance - Assessment Criteria**



##### **1. High Significance in landscape**

- The tree is in good condition and good vigour;
- The tree has a form typical for the species;
- The tree is a remnant or is a planted locally indigenous specimen and/or is rare or uncommon in the local area or of botanical interest or of substantial age;
- The tree is listed as a Heritage Item, Threatened Species or part of an Endangered ecological community or listed on Councils significant Tree Register;
- The tree is visually prominent and visible from a considerable distance when viewed from most directions within the landscape due to its size and scale and makes a positive contribution to the local amenity;
- The tree supports social and cultural sentiments or spiritual associations, reflected by the broader population or community group or has commemorative values;
- The tree's growth is unrestricted by above and below ground influences, supporting its ability to reach dimensions typical for the taxa *in situ* - tree is appropriate to the site conditions.

##### **2. Medium Significance in landscape**

- The tree is in fair-good condition and good or low vigour;
- The tree has form typical or atypical of the species;
- The tree is a planted locally indigenous or a common species with its taxa commonly planted in the local area
- The tree is visible from surrounding properties, although not visually prominent as partially obstructed by other vegetation or buildings when viewed from the street,
- The tree provides a fair contribution to the visual character and amenity of the local area,
- The tree's growth is moderately restricted by above or below ground influences, reducing its ability to reach dimensions typical for the taxa *in situ*.

##### **3. Low Significance in landscape**

- The tree is in fair-poor condition and good or low vigour;
- The tree has form atypical of the species;
- The tree is not visible or is partly visible from surrounding properties as obstructed by other vegetation or buildings,
- The tree provides a minor contribution or has a negative impact on the visual character and amenity of the local area,
- The tree is a young specimen which may or may not have reached dimension to be protected by local Tree Preservation orders or similar protection mechanisms and can easily be replaced with a suitable specimen,
- The tree's growth is severely restricted by above or below ground influences, unlikely to reach dimensions typical for the taxa *in situ* - tree is inappropriate to the site conditions,
- The tree is listed as exempt under the provisions of the local Council Tree Preservation Order or similar protection mechanisms,
- The tree has a wound or defect that has potential to become structurally unsound.

##### **Environmental Pest / Noxious Weed Species**

- The tree is an Environmental Pest Species due to its invasiveness or poisonous/ allergenic properties,
- The tree is a declared noxious weed by legislation.


























##### **Hazardous/Irreversible Decline**

- The tree is structurally unsound and/or unstable and is considered potentially dangerous,
- The tree is dead, or is in irreversible decline, or has the potential to fail or collapse in full or part in the immediate to short term.

**The tree is to have a minimum of three (3) criteria in a category to be classified in that group.**

Note: The assessment criteria are for individual trees only, however, can be applied to a monocultural stand in its entirety e.g. hedge.

**Table 1.0 Tree Retention Value - Priority Matrix.**

		Significance				
		1. High	2. Medium	3. Low		
		Significance in Landscape	Significance in Landscape	Significance in Landscape	Environmental Pest / Noxious Weed Species	Hazardous / Irreversible Decline
Estimated Life Expectancy	1. Long >40 years					
	2. Medium 15-40 Years					
	3. Short <1-15 Years					
	Dead					
<p><u>Legend for Matrix Assessment</u></p> 						
	<p><b>Priority for Retention (High)</b> - These trees are considered important for retention and should be retained and protected. Design modification or re-location of building/s should be considered to accommodate the setbacks as prescribed by the Australian Standard AS4970 <i>Protection of trees on development sites</i>. Tree sensitive construction measures must be implemented e.g. pier and beam etc if works are to proceed within the Tree Protection Zone.</p>					
	<p><b>Consider for Retention (Medium)</b> - These trees may be retained and protected. These are considered less critical; however their retention should remain priority with removal considered only if adversely affecting the proposed building/works and all other alternatives have been considered and exhausted.</p>					
	<p><b>Consider for Removal (Low)</b> - These trees are not considered important for retention, nor require special works or design modification to be implemented for their retention.</p>					
	<p><b>Priority for Removal</b> - These trees are considered hazardous, or in irreversible decline, or weeds and should be removed irrespective of development.</p>					

#### USE OF THIS DOCUMENT AND REFERENCING

The IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS) is free to use, but only in its entirety and must be cited as follows:

IACA, 2010, *IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS)*, Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturists, Australia, [www.iaca.org.au](http://www.iaca.org.au)

#### REFERENCES

Australia ICOMOS Inc. 1999, *The Burra Charter – The Australian ICOMOS Charter for Places of Cultural Significance*, International Council of Monuments and Sites, [www.icomos.org/australia](http://www.icomos.org/australia)

Draper BD and Richards PA 2009, *Dictionary for Managing Trees in Urban Environments*, Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturists (IACA), CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria, Australia.

Footprint Green Pty Ltd 2001, *Footprint Green Tree Significance & Retention Value Matrix*, Avalon, NSW Australia, [www.footprintgreen.com.au](http://www.footprintgreen.com.au)

IACA 2010, *IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS)*, Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturists, [www.iaca.org.au](http://www.iaca.org.au)



The following example shows the IACA **Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS)** used in an Arboricultural report.

### Tree Significance

Determined by using the Tree Significance - Assessment Criteria of the *IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS)*© (IACA, 2010), Appendix B.

Trees 14, 16, 17/3, 19 and 20/4 are of high significance with the remaining majority of medium significance and a few of low significance. Tree 14 is significant as a prominent specimen and a food source for indigenous avian fauna. Tree 16 as a non-locally indigenous planting is of good form and prominent *in situ*; Tree 17/3 as a stand of 6 street trees along the Davey Street frontage screening views to and from the site and contiguous with trees in Victoria Park extending the aesthetic influence of the urban canopy to the site. Similarly for Trees 20/4 as street trees in Long Road and Tree 19 as an extant exotic planting as a senescent component of the original landscaping. The trees of low significance are recent plantings as fruit trees – Avocados, and 1 Cootamundra Wattle as a non-locally indigenous tree in irreversible decline and potentially structurally unsound.

### Significance Scale

**1 – High**  
**2 – Medium**  
**3 – Low**

Significance Scale	1	2	3
Tree No. / Stand No.	14, 16, 17/3, 19, 20/4	1/1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12/2, 15, 18, 21/5	3, 13, 22

### Tree Retention Value

Determined by using the Retention Value - Priority Matrix of the *IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS)*© (IACA, 2010), Appendix B.

### Retention Value

**High** – Priority for Retention  
**Medium** – Consider for Retention  
**Low** – Consider for Removal  
**Remove** - Priority for Removal

Retention Value	High Priority for Retention	Medium Consider for Retention	Low Consider for Removal	Remove Priority for Removal
Tree No. / Stand No.	1/1, 5, 17/3*, 19	2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 14, 15, 16, 18, 20/4*, 21/5	3, 12/2, 13,	22

\* Trees located within the neighbouring property and should be retained and protected.

## APPENDIX 4 - EXTRACT FROM AS4970 2009 PROTECTION OF TREES ON DEVELOPMENT SITES

### Section 3, Determining the tree protection zones of the selected trees

#### 3.1 Tree protection zone (TPZ)

*"The tree protection zone (TPZ) is the principal means of protecting trees on development sites. The TPZ is a combination of the root area and crown area requiring protection. It is an area isolated from construction disturbance, so that the tree remains viable.*

*The TPZ incorporates the structural root zone (SRZ) (refer to Clause 3.3.5)."*

#### 3.2 Determining the TPZ

The radius of the TPZ is calculated for each tree by multiplying its DBH x 12.

$$\text{TPZ} = \text{DBH} \times 12$$

where

DBH = trunk diameter measured at 1.4 m above ground

Radius is measured from the centre of the stem at ground level.

#### 3.3.5 Structural root zone (SRZ)

*"The SRZ is the area required for street stability. A larger area is required to maintain a viable tree. The SRZ only needs to be calculated when a major encroachment into a TPZ is proposed. Root investigation may provide more information on the extent of these roots."*

#### Determining the SRZ

The radius of the TPZ is calculated for each tree by multiplying its DBH x 12.

$$\text{SRZ radius} = (D \times 50)^{0.42} \times 0.64$$

where

$D$  = trunk diameter, in metres, measured above the root buttress.

Note: The SRZ for trees with trunk diameters less than 0.15 m will be 1.5 m (see Figure 1).


The curve can be expressed by the following formula:

$$R_{\text{SRZ}} = (D \times 50)^{0.42} \times 0.64$$

NOTES:

- 1  $R_{\text{SRZ}}$  is the structural root zone radius.
- 2  $D$  is the stem diameter measured immediately above root buttress.
- 3 The SRZ for trees less than 0.15 m diameter is 1.5 m.
- 4 The SRZ formula and graph do not apply to palms, other monocots, cycads and tree ferns.
- 5 This does not apply to trees with an asymmetrical root plate.

FIGURE 1 STRUCTURAL ROOT ZONE



## **APPENDIX 5 – GENERAL TREE PROTECTION SPECIFICATION**

### **1.0 Appointment of Project Arborist**

A Project Arborist shall be engaged prior the commencement of work on-site and monitor compliance with the protection measures. The Project Arborist shall inspect the tree protection measures and Compliance Certification shall be prepared by the Project Arborist for review by the Principal Certifying Authority prior to the release of the Compliance Certificate.

The Project Arborist shall have a minimum qualification equivalent (using the Australian Qualifications Framework) of Level 5 or above in Arboriculture.

### **2.0 Compliance**

Contractors and site workers shall receive a copy of these specifications a minimum of 3 working days prior to commencing work on-site. Contractors and site workers undertaking works within the Tree Protection Zone shall sign the site log confirming they have read and understand these specifications, prior to undertaking works on-site.

The Project Arborist shall undertake regular site inspections and certify that the works are being undertaken in accordance with this specification.

Compliance Documentation shall be prepared by the Project Arborist following each site inspection. The Compliance Documentation shall include documentary evidence of compliance with the tree protection measures and methods as outlined within this Specification. Upon the completion of the works, a final assessment of the trees shall be undertaken by the Project Arborist and future recommended management strategies implemented as required.

### **3.0 Tree Removal**

The trees to be removed shall be removed prior to the establishment of the tree protection measures. Tree removal works shall be undertaken in accordance with the *Workcover Code of Practice for the Amenity Tree Industry (1998)*. Tree and vegetation removal shall not damage the trees to be retained.

### **4.0 Tree Protection Zone**

The trees to be retained shall be protected prior and during construction from activities that may result in an adverse effect on their health or structural condition. The area within the Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) shall exclude the following activities, unless otherwise stated:-

- Modification of existing soil levels, excavations and trenching
- Mechanical removal of vegetation
- Movement of natural rock
- Storage of materials, plant or equipment or erection of site sheds
- Affixing of signage or hoarding to the trees
- Preparation of building materials, refuelling or disposal of waste materials and chemicals
- Lighting fires
- Movement of pedestrian or vehicular traffic
- Temporary or permanent location of services, or the works required for their installation
- Any other activities that may cause damage to the tree

## 5.0 Tree Protection Fencing

TPZ fencing shall be located at the perimeter of the TPZ. Where TPZ areas overlap, TPZ fencing may be combined to form a single larger TPZ area. The exact location of the fencing shall be confirmed through consultation between the Head Contractor/Project Manager and the Project Arborist prior to the commencement of works. Fencing may be setback to allow for demolition/construction access and for the installation of pavements only where appropriate ground protection is installed and approved by the Project Arborist.

As a minimum, the Tree Protection Fence shall consist of 1.8m high wire mesh panels supported by concrete feet. Panels shall be fastened together and supported to prevent sideways movement. The tree shall not be damaged during the installation of the Tree Protection Fencing. Refer to Typical Tree Protection Details (**Appendix 6**).

## 6.0 Site Management

Materials, waste storage, and temporary services shall not be located within the TPZ.

## 7.0 Scaffolding

Where possible, scaffolding shall not be located within the TPZ. Scaffolding shall not be in contact with the tree. As necessary, this shall be achieved by erecting scaffolding around branches. Branches shall be tied back and protected as deemed necessary by the Project Arborist. Refer to Typical Tree Protection Details (**Appendix 6**).

## 8.0 Works within the Tree Protection Zones

In some cases works within the TPZ may be authorized by the determining authority. These works shall be supervised by the Project Arborist. When undertaking works within the TPZ, care should be taken to avoid damage to the tree's root system, trunks and lower branches.

If roots (>25mm $\varnothing$ ) are encountered during the demolition, excavation and construction works, these roots must be retained in an undamaged condition and advice sought from the Project Arborist. Adjustment of final levels and design shall remain flexible to enable the retention of roots (>25mm $\varnothing$ ) where deemed necessary by the Project Arborist.

Drilling/piling machinery shall be of a suitable size to not damage the tree's roots, trunk, branches and crown. No clearance pruning is permitted to allow for machinery access. Machinery shall work in conjunction with an observer to ensure that adequate clearance from trees is maintained at all times.

## 9.0 Ground Protection

Where deemed necessary by the Project Arborist, machinery movements shall be restricted to areas of existing pavement or from areas of temporary ground protection such as ground mats or steel road plates. Refer to Typical Tree Protection Details (**Appendix 6**).

## 10.0 Trunk Protection

Where required by the Project Arborist, trunk protection shall be installed. Trunk protection shall be installed by wrapping padding (either carpet underlay or 10mm thick jute geotextile mat) around the trunk and first order branches to a minimum height of 2m. Timber battens (90 x 45mm) spaced at 150mm centres shall be strapped together and placed over the padding. Timber battens must not be fixed to the trees. Refer to Typical Tree Protection Details (**Appendix 6**).

## 11.0 Structure & Pavement Demolition



Demolition of existing structures/pavement within the TPZ shall be supervised by the Project Arborist. Machinery is to be excluded from the TPZ unless operating from the existing slabs, pavements or areas of ground protection (refer to Section 9.0). Machinery should not contact the tree's roots, trunk, branches and crown.

The existing pavement shall be carefully lifted to minimise damage to the underlying soil profile (or sub-base materials) and to prevent damage to tree roots. Wherever possible, existing sub-base materials shall remain in-situ.

When removing slab sections within TPZ, machinery shall work backwards out of the TPZ to ensure machinery remains on un-demolished sections of slab at all times. Wherever possible, footings or elements below grade shall be retained to minimise disturbance to the tree's roots.

Where deemed necessary by the Project Arborist, the structures shall be shattered prior to removal with a hand-operated pneumatic/electric breaker.

If roots (>25mmØ) are encountered during the demolition works, these roots must be retained in an undamaged condition and advice sought from the Project Arborist. Where the Project Arborist determines that the tree is using underground elements (i.e footings, pipes, rocks etc.) for support, these elements shall be left in-situ.

## **12.0 Underground Services**

Underground service installation within the TPZ shall be supervised by the Project Arborist.

The installation of underground services shall be located outside of the TPZ. Where this is not possible, they shall be installed using either hydrovac or hand excavation methods with the services installed around/below roots (>25mmØ, or as determined by the Project Arborist).

Alternatively, boring methods may be used for underground service installation where the installation depth is greater than 800mm below existing grade. Excavations for starting and receiving pits for boring equipment shall be located outside of the TPZ or located to avoid roots (>25mmØ, or as determined by the Project Arborist).

## **13.0 Excavations, Root Protection & Root Pruning**

Excavations and root pruning within the TPZ shall be supervised by the Project Arborist. Excavations within the TPZ shall be avoided wherever possible.

Excavations within the TPZ shall be undertaken by hand or using hydro vacuum excavation methods (or similar approved device) to protect tree roots. If there is any delay between excavation works and backfilling, exposed roots shall be protected from direct sunlight, drying out and extremes of temperature by covering with a 10mm thick jute mat. The mat shall be kept in a damp condition at all times.

Hand excavation and root pruning shall be undertaken along the excavation line prior to the commencement of mechanical excavation to prevent tearing and shattering damage to the roots from excavation equipment. Roots (>25mmØ) shall be pruned by the Project Arborist only. Roots (<25mmØ) may be pruned by the Principal Contractor. Root pruning shall be undertaken with clean, sharp secateurs or a pruning saw to ensure a smooth wound face, free from tears.

No over-excavation, battering or benching shall be undertaken beyond the footprint of any structure unless approved by the Project Arborist.

Damaged roots shall be pruned behind the damaged tissues with the final cut made to an undamaged part of the root.



## APPENDIX 6 - TYPICAL TREE PROTECTION DETAILS

